## **MCS-274** Decomposition Examples

Attributes: ID#, First name, Last name, Phone, Address, City, State, ZIP

**Abbreviations:** IFLPACSZ

**Functional Dependencies:** I→FLACSZ, ACS→Z, AZ→CS:

| #    | Decomposition                 | BCNF? | Lossless? | Dependency preserving? | Notes  |
|------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| 1    | IFLPACSZ                      |       | ⋖         | <b>⋖</b>               | all in one big table                           |
| 2    | IFLACSZ, IP                   |       | ⋖         | ⋖                      | partially decomposed                           |
| 3    | IFLACS, IP,<br>ACSZ           | ⋖     | <b>⋖</b>  | ✓                      | nice decomposition                             |
|      | IFLAZ, IP,<br>ACSZ            | ⋖     | <b>⋖</b>  | ✓                      | another nice one                               |
| 5    | IF, IL, IP, IA,<br>IC, IS, IZ | ⋖     | <b>⋖</b>  |                        | BCNF, lossless, but not dependency preserving  |
| 6    | IF, LP, AC, SZ                |       |           |                        | horribly lossy (and not dependency preserving) |
| 7    | IF, IL, IP,<br>IACS, ACSZ     | ⋖     | <b>⋖</b>  | ⋖                      | unnecessarily many tables                      |
| - 11 | IFL, IP, ACSZ                 |       |           |                        | 3, but lossy (and not dependency preserving    |
| 9    | IFLACS, P,<br>ACSZ            | ⋖     |           | ⋖                      | BCNF & preserving, but it's lossy              |

Suppose the Post Office eliminates a few weird cases and we have Z→S. How does the example change?