## Conservation Biology Technical Report Writing Checklist for Peer Review (from the style guide provided by the journal Conservation Biology)

<u>Entire</u>	Assignment (/2 pts.)
<del></del>	Follows instructions provided on Essay Two handout Paper consists of Title and <u>labelled</u> sections: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion (no abstract or Acknowledgements sections). Tables and/or Figures are not required. If included, they count for the page limit. Literature Cited on separate page
	Use of active voice Considerate use of class vocabulary Avoids slang and phrases that could be replaced with class vocabulary Omit unnecessary detail Avoids mistakes listed on the Moodle handout "Joel's Guide to Science Writing" Single well-developed idea per paragraph American English grammar and spelling followed All measurements written in metric units only
<u>Title</u>	(/1 pts.) Title is clear, concise and informative Title is not overly dramatic Title is not a complete sentence or a question, and doesn't use a colon
Introd	uction (/3 pts.) Organism's habitat, distribution and taxonomy is briefly listed (1 sentence) Organism's current population status, if known, is given with citation. Threat(s) to the organism's population(s), if known, is given with citation. Clear, concise listing of the problem (what could be solved by vortex) Clear, concise listing of the hypothesis (such as variable X more of a threat than variable Y) Omits unnecessary detail
Materi	Follows example paragraphs provided by Joel on Moodle Clearly describes any Vortex settings that were changed between scenarios Gives citations for where population variables came from Does give lengthy explanations for why population variables were chosen Does not list any results

Results	<u>(/ 2 pts.)</u>
	Describes but does not interpret observations
	Emphasizes important patterns & trends
	Tables avoided if there are few numerical results, table used if many numbers found
	If table or figure used, it is referred to in the text in style of <i>Conservation Biology</i> Tables and figures used <i>only</i> if they explain things more clearly than1-2 sentences could If figures/tables used, they are neatly drawn with the same justification and format as found in Conservation Biology articles If figures/tables used, each has a descriptive but concise caption as a full sentence.
	<ul> <li>sion (/4 pts.)</li> <li>Single sentence that broadly reviews both problem and main results.</li> <li>Hypothesis is compared with numerical results.</li> <li>Inferences made about population vulnerability are based on a combination of results + literature</li> </ul>
	Suggest which variables should be most important to conservation biologists Suggest direction for future research to further explore how this population is regulated Strong concluding sentence
	Numbers found in Results section are summarized, not re-listed (it is okay to point out a few, though)
	Results treated as qualitative guides for more informed management, NOT as accurate predictors for all populations in all situations.
	The only sociopolitical facts given are necessary to explain trends in results or to justify how these results could be interpreted / applied
	ure Cited (/2 pts.)  Meets minimum requirement for number of approved references
	All literature listed is found in the body of the text
	No in-text citations are missing from the Literature Cited
	Follows format of Conservation Biology
	(http://www.conbio.org/Publications/ConsBio/Instructions/Style.cfm)